arXiv:1212.0245v1 [math.AC] 2 Dec 2012

A NOTE ON SOME TOP LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

MAJID EGHBALI

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of a d-dimensional commutative Noetherian ring R. In this paper we give some information on some last non-zero local cohomology modules known as top local cohomology modules in particular, $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this note, we assume R is a commutative Noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of R. Let M be an R-module. For an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ denote the i-th local cohomology module of M with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ as introduced by Grothendieck (cf. [Gr] and [Br-Sh]). The first non-vanishing cohomological degree of the local cohomology modules $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ is well understood. It is the maximal length of a regular sequence on R consisting of elements of $\mathfrak{a}$. The last non-vanishing amount of local cohomology modules, instead, is more mysterious. It is known as the cohomological dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$ in R, denoted by $\operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R)$. It is a well-known fact that

$$\operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, R) \leq \operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R) \leq \dim R.$$

Let $c := \operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R)$ then, $\mathfrak{a}$ can not be generated by the elements fewer than c. Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum vanishing Theorem gives a characterization for vanishing of $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ i.e. for the case $\operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R) \leq \dim R - 1$. In [E-Sch, Theorem 3.3] the author and Schenzel have expressed the isomorphism $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \cong H^d_{\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}}(\widehat{R}/J)$ for a certain ideal J of $\widehat{R}$. It indicates the properties of $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ via $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}}(\widehat{R})$, which is more well-known.

Ogus [O, Corollary 2.11] in equicharacteristic 0 and Peskine and Szpiro [P-S, 5.5] in equicharacteristic $p > 0$ generalized the vanishing Theorem of Hartshorne [Ha] for the cohomological dimension of the complement of a subvariety of projective space. Huneke and Lyubeznik [Hu-L, Theorem 2.9] gave a new characteristic-free proof of it. They proved the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring of dimension d containing a field and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R. Then the followings are equivalent:*

(1) $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0$ *for* $i = d-1, d$.
(2) $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} \geq 2$ *and* $\operatorname{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{a}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ *is formally geometrically connected.*

In general the case $\operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R) \leq \dim R - 2$ is more complicated than the first one. On the other hand, $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ is more mysterious and its properties are not so much known. It provides motivation to do research on this subject. The aim of the present paper is to obtain more information about the structure of $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ to shed light on the obscure aspects of this issue. The layout of it is

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D45, 13C14.

Key words and phrases. Top local cohomology, Attached primes, One dimensional ideal.

as follows. In Section 2, we examine $\mathrm{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R))$ the set of attached prime ideals of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R)$. As a technical tool we use the concept of colocalization introduced by Richardson in [R]. As the main result in Section 2 we get the following result (cf. Theorem 2.2):

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local ring of dimension d. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R. Assume that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R)$ is representable and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d}(R) = 0$. Then*

(1) $\mathrm{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d-1, \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}\} \cup \operatorname{Assh}(R)$.
(2) $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d-1, \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R))$.

In Section 3, we focus on $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R)$ with a one-dimensional ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. Among the other results in Theorem 3.1 we show the relation of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R)$ with some known modules of the form $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(R)$ as a main result. In Section 4 we consider $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R)$ with an arbitrary ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. We define a certain ideal J to get an epimorphism $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R) \to H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-1}(R/J)$ (cf. 4.1).

Part of this work is the author's doctoral dissertation at the MLU Halle-Wittenberg. My thanks are due to my adviser, Professor Peter Schenzel who draw my attention to the importance of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R)$, helpful conversations during the preparation of this note and careful reading of the first draft of my paper.

2. ATTACHED PRIMES OF $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R)$

Throughout this section, let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and S a multiplicative closed subset of R. Recently, A. S. Richardson [R] has proposed the definition of colocalization of an R-module M relative to S as the $S^{-1}R$-module $S_{-1}M = D_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}D_R(M))$, where $D(-)$ stands for the Matlis duality functor. See also [E] for more information.

In the light of [R, Theorem 2.2], representable modules are preserved under colocalization and in case M is a representable module, we have

$$\operatorname{Att} S_{-1}M = \{S^{-1}\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Att} M \text{ and } S \cap \mathfrak{p} = \emptyset\}, \qquad (*)$$

where the notation $\operatorname{Att} M$ is used to denote the set of attached prime ideals of M. This section is based on the use of this property. We examine the set of attached prime ideals of last non-vanishing value of local cohomology.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R and $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$. Let c be an integer such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i}(R) = 0$ for every $i > c$. Assume that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{c}(R)$ is representable. Then, we have the followings*

(1) $\mathrm{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}({}^{\mathfrak{p}}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{c}(R)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} : \dim R/\mathfrak{q} \geq c, \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \text{ and } \mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R\}$.
(2) *In case R is complete, we get the following equality*

$$\mathrm{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}({}^{\mathfrak{p}}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim R}(R)) = \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} : \dim R/\mathfrak{q} = \dim R\,,\ \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{m} \text{ and } \mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R\}.$$

Proof.

(1) By virtue of $(*)$ we have

$$\mathrm{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}({}^{\mathfrak{p}}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{c}(R)) = \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{c}(R)) \text{ and } \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Since $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{c}(R/\mathfrak{q})$ is representable then,

$$\mathrm{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{c}(R/\mathfrak{q})) = \mathrm{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{c}(R)) \cap \operatorname{Supp}(R/\mathfrak{q})$$

(cf. [A-M, Lemma 2.11]), which contains $\mathfrak{q}$. Hence, $\operatorname{Att}_R(H^c_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{q})) \neq \emptyset$ it implies that $H^c_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{q}) \neq 0$ and consequently $\dim R/\mathfrak{q} \geq c$.

(2) Put $d := \dim R$. If $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0$ we are done. Then we assume that $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \neq 0$.

$'' \subseteq ''$: Let $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}({}^{\mathfrak{p}}H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R))$. As we have seen in part one, $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{q}) \neq 0$ so $\dim R/\mathfrak{q} = d$ and by Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum vanishing Theorem $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{m}$.

$'' \supseteq ''$: again using $(*)$ we have

$$\operatorname{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}({}^{\mathfrak{p}}H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)) = \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Att}_R H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\},$$

so it is enough to show that $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Att}_R H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$.

As $\dim R/\mathfrak{q} = d$ and $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{m}$, so Independence Theorem implies that $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{q}) \neq 0$. Hence easily one can see that

$$\emptyset \neq \operatorname{Att}_R(H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{q})) = \operatorname{Att}_R(H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)) \cap \operatorname{Supp}_R(R/\mathfrak{q}). \qquad (**)$$

In the contrary assume that $\mathfrak{q} \notin \operatorname{Att}_R H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$. Then by virtue of $(**)$ there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}_0 \in \operatorname{Att}_R H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ such that $\mathfrak{q}_0 \supset \mathfrak{q}$ and so $\dim R/\mathfrak{q}_0 < d$. On the other $\mathfrak{q}_0 \in \operatorname{Att}_R H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{q}_0 R_{\mathfrak{q}_0} \in \operatorname{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}_0}}({}^{\mathfrak{q}_0}H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R))$. By virtue of part one $\dim R/\mathfrak{q}_0 = d$ which is a contradiction. Now the proof is complete.

□

After this preparation we can state the main result of this section as follows:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local ring of dimension d. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R. Assume that $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ is representable and $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0$. Then*

(1) $\operatorname{Att}_R(H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d-1, \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}\} \cup \operatorname{Assh}(R)$.

(2) $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d-1, \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Att}_R(H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R))$.

Proof.

(1) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Att}_R H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$, then $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}({}^{\mathfrak{p}}H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R))$. Hence by Theorem 2.1 $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \geq d-1$.

When $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$ it follows that $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Assh}(R)$. In the case $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d-1$, as $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Att}_R H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ and $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(-)$ is a right exact functor so one can deduce that $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$. By Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum vanishing Theorem there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$ of R of dimension $d-1$ with $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{m}$. So, we must have $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}$.

(2) Let $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d-1$ and $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}$, then Theorem 2.1(2) implies that $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}({}^{\mathfrak{p}}H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{p}))$. By $(*)$ we deduce that $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Att}_R(H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{p}))$. On the other hand the epimorphism

$$H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \to 0$$

implies that $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Att}_R(H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R))$.

□

It is noteworthy to say that in the situation of Theorem 2.2 if $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of dimension one, the inclusion at (1) will be an equality, see [Hel, Theorem 8.2.3].

3. ONE DIMENSIONAL IDEAL

Of particular interest are the so-called top local cohomology modules, that is, $H^t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \neq 0$ where $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0$ for all $i > t$. In the light of Theorem 1.1, vanishing of the local cohomology modules $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$, for $i = \dim R, \dim R - 1$ paving the ground for connectedness results. $H^{\dim R}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ has been studied in [E-Sch] and some of its properties have been realized. As $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ is mostly not Artinian so it rarely could be possible to control $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ via $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$, however in the next Theorem we try to examine the $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ via some known modules of the form $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ for a one-dimensional ideal $\mathfrak{a}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a one dimensional ideal of a d-dimensional local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. Suppose* $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ *is not a prime ideal. Then*

(1) *Let $\widehat{R}$ the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of R be an integral domain, then there exists an epimorphism*

$$H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \to H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \to 0.$$

Moreover, in case R is complete and unmixed, we have $\operatorname{Ann}_R H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0$.

(2) *If R is a Gorenstein ring and $\widehat{R}$ is a domain then, there exist a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ and an element $y \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ to have the following exact sequence*

$$0 \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}+yR}(R) \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \to E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p})) \to 0.$$

(3) *If $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0$ then, for some element $x \in R$ with* $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + xR) = \mathfrak{m}$ *we get the following epimorphism*

$$H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/xR) \to 0.$$

If $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal and R is Cohen-Macaulay ring then, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{p}}(R) \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{p}}(R)_y \to H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \to 0,$$

for an element $y \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Moreover $H^0_{yR}(H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{p}}(R)) = 0$ and $H^1_{yR}(H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{p}}(R)) = H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$. In particular, $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{p}}(R)$ is not Artinian.

Proof.

(1) Put

$$\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n, \quad n \geq 2$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_i$'s are distinct minimal prime ideals of $\mathfrak{a}$ for $i = 1, ..., n$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{p}_i$'s are not $\mathfrak{m}$-primary. Choose $\mathfrak{p}_t$ for some integer $t \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{p}_t + \cap^n_{j=1, j \neq t} \mathfrak{p}_j) = \mathfrak{m}$.

Put $\mathfrak{a}_1 := \mathfrak{p}_t, \mathfrak{a}_2 := \cap^n_{j=1, j \neq t} \mathfrak{p}_j$, by Mayer-Vietoris sequence we have the following exact sequence

$$H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2}(R) \to H^d_{\mathfrak{a}_1 + \mathfrak{a}_2}(R) \to H^d_{\mathfrak{a}_1}(R) \oplus H^d_{\mathfrak{a}_2}(R).$$

Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum vanishing Theorem implies that $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}_1}(R) = 0 = H^d_{\mathfrak{a}_2}(R)$ then, we get the following epimorphism

$$H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \to H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \to 0.$$

In the light of the above epimorphism and by the assumptions, it follows that $\operatorname{Ann}_R H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0$. To this end note that $\operatorname{Ann}_R H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = 0$ (see for example [E-Sch, Theorem 4.2(i)]).

(2) In this part we follow the lines of an argument given in [Hu-K, Lemma 4.7]. Let

$$\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap ... \cap \mathfrak{p}_n,$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_i$'s are distinct minimal prime ideals of $\mathfrak{a}$ for $i = 1, ..., n$. Choose $y \in \cap_{i=1, i \neq j}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_i \setminus \mathfrak{p}_j$ for some integer $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$.

Mayer-Vietoris sequence implies the following long exact

$$(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-2}(R))_y \to H_{\mathfrak{a}+yR}^{d-1}(R) \to H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R) \to (H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R))_y \to H_{\mathfrak{a}+yR}^{d}(R).$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + yR) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap ... \cap \mathfrak{p}_j^{\wedge} \cap ... \cap \mathfrak{p}_n,$$

by $\wedge$ we mean the omitting the jth component and $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}R_y) = \mathfrak{p}_j R_y$ which is maximal ideal of R_y. Hence,

$$(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-2}(R))_y = H_{\mathfrak{a}R_y}^{d-2}(R_y) \cong H_{\mathfrak{p}_j R_y}^{d-2}(R_y) = 0.$$

To this end note that $\dim R_y = \mathrm{ht}\, \mathfrak{p}_j R_y = \mathrm{ht}\, \mathfrak{p}_j = d - 1$ and R_y is a Gorenstein ring.

As $\widehat{R}$ is a domain and $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + yR) \neq \mathfrak{m}$ then, $H_{\mathfrak{a}+yR}^{d}(R) = 0$ using Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum vanishing Theorem. Finally we have the following isomorphism

$$(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R))_y = (H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R))_y \otimes_{R_y} R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \cong E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}}(k(\mathfrak{p}_j)).$$

It is worthy to note that $R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \cong R_y$ (cf. [At-Mac, Exercise 8, pp.44]), $R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ is a Gorenstein local ring and $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}) = \mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a})R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} = \mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$. Hence, we get the desired short exact sequence

$$0 \to H_{\mathfrak{a}+yR}^{d-1}(R) \to H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R) \to E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}}(k(\mathfrak{p}_j)) \to 0.$$

(3) Put

$$\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap ... \cap \mathfrak{p}_n,$$

Choose $x \in \mathfrak{p}_t$ for some integer $t \in \{1, ..., n\}$ with $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + xR) = \mathfrak{m}$ to get the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to R/(0 :_R x) \xrightarrow{x} R \to R/xR \to 0.$$

It yields the long exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R/(0 :_R x)) \to H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R) \to H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R/xR) \to H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d}(R/(0 :_R x)),$$

where $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d}(R/(0 :_R x))$ is zero by assumption. So, by Independence Theorem we get the epimorphism

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(R) \to H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-1}(R/xR) \to 0.$$

For the last part, Choose $y \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. So, $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{p} + yR) = \mathfrak{m}$. Then, by Mayer-Vietoris sequence we have

$$(H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{d-2}(R))_y \to H_{\mathfrak{p}+yR}^{d-1}(R) \to H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{d-1}(R) \to (H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{d-1}(R))_y.$$

As R is Cohen-Macaulay then, it yields the next short exact sequence

$$0 \to H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{d-1}(R) \to (H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{d-1}(R))_y \to H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d}(R) \to 0.$$

By virtue of [Br-Sh, Corollary 2.2.18] $H^0_{yR}(H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{p}}(R)) = 0$ and $H^1_{yR}(H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{p}}(R)) = H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$. Note that in case $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{p}}(R)$ is Artinian, it follows that $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = 0$ which is a contradiction. □

Remark 3.2. (1) Keep the notations and hypotheses in Theorem 3.1(3), with some additional assumptions we can remove the assumption $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0$. Let x be in some minimal prime ideals of $\mathfrak{p}_t$ such that $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + x) = \mathfrak{m}$ then, we show that $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/(0 :_R x)) = 0$. Note that if $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \min R$ then, $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{m}$ which is a contradiction.

Let $(0) = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$ be a minimal primary decomposition and $(x) = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$, $r < n$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{q}_i = d$ and $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{q}_i) = \mathfrak{m}$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ but this is not true for all $\mathfrak{q}_i$ where $r < i \leq n$.

If $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/(0 :_R x)) \neq 0$ then, there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ containing $(0 :_R x)$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{P} = d$ and $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{m}$ by Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum vanishing Theorem. Since $\mathfrak{P} \supseteq (0 :_R x)$ so $\mathfrak{P} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_i$ for some $i \geq r + 1$. Therefore $\dim R/\mathfrak{q}_i = d$ and $\mathfrak{P} = \mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$. This implies that $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{q}_i) = \mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{m}$, contracting the fact that $i \geq r + 1$.

(2) Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a one dimensional ideal of a d-dimensional local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. Suppose $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ is not a prime ideal. If for some element x in a minimal prime ideal of R, $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + xR) = \mathfrak{m}$ then, by what we have seen in (1) and Theorem 3.1(3) we get

$$\mathrm{Assh}(R/xR) \subseteq \mathrm{Att}_R(H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)).$$

□

It follows by Hartshorne's result, (cf. [Ha, p. 417]) that $\varinjlim_n \mathrm{Ext}^s_R(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{a}^n, N) = 0$ for a finitely generated R-module N of dimension s. It is natural to investigate the vanishing case for $\varinjlim_n \mathrm{Ext}^{s-1}_R(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{a}^n, N)$. To be more precise we have the following result:

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and M a finite R-module of dimension s and $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal of dimension one. Then,*

1. *There exists an epimorphism $\varinjlim_n \mathrm{Ext}^{s-1}_R(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{a}^n, M) \to H^1_{xR}(H^{s-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$.*
2. *If $H^{s-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = 0$ then, it implies that $\varinjlim_n \mathrm{Ext}^{s-1}_R(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{a}^n, M) = 0$.*

Proof. As $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} = 1$ then, there exists an element $x \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{a}$. By virtue of [Sch, Corollary 1.4] there is the following exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{xR}(H^{s-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \to H^s_{(\mathfrak{a},xR)}(M) \to H^0_{xR}(H^s_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \to 0.$$

As $H^s_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is an Artinian module so every element of $H^s_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is annihilated by some power of $\mathfrak{m}$ and also by some power of x, i.e. $H^0_{xR}(H^s_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) = H^s_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$.

On the other hand there is the long exact sequence

$$\varinjlim_n \mathrm{Ext}^{s-1}_R(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{a}^n, M) \to H^s_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \to H^s_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \to \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Ext}^s_R(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{a}^n, M),$$

where $\varinjlim_n \mathrm{Ext}^s_R(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{a}^n, M) = 0$ as it has been mentioned above.

Now combine the above results to get the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{s-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \arrow[r] & \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Ext}^{s-1}_R(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{a}^n, M) \arrow[r] & H^s_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & H^s_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & H^1_{xR}(H^{s-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \arrow[r] & H^s_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \arrow[r] & H^s_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

By snake lemma we get the epimorphism $\phi : \varinjlim_n \operatorname{Ext}_R^{s-1}(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{a}^n, M) \to H^1_{xR}(H^{s-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$. It is clear that ϕ is isomorphism when $H^{s-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = 0$, this proves the claim.

□

4. A GENERAL CASE

In this Section we try to examine the structure of $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ for an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ which is not in general of dimension one. For this reason we consider the following case:

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring. Assume that

$$\Sigma := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} \widehat{R} : \dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d-1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}\}$$

is non-empty. Here $\widehat{R}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of R. When we have an ideal $\mathfrak{b}$ of R of dimension $d-1$ such that $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{b}) \neq 0$ then, by Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum vanishing Theorem the above assumption will be verified.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local ring of dimension $d > 0$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R such that $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0$. Suppose that $\Sigma := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d-1$ and $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}\} \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/J) \to 0$$

where $J = (\cap_{\mathfrak{p}_i \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{p}_i)$ is an ideal of R. ($J = R$, if for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \neq d-1$ or $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) \neq \mathfrak{m}$).

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to J \to R \to R/J \to 0$$

which implies the following long exact sequence

$$H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(J) \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/J) \to H^{d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(J).$$

As the last module in the above exact sequence is zero so, we get the following epimorphism

$$H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/J) \to 0.$$

By virtue of Independence Theorem we get the claim:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) &\to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/J) \\ &\cong H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}+J/J}(R/J) \\ &\cong H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/J). \end{aligned}$$

To this end note that $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + J) = \mathfrak{m}$ □

Let M denote a s-dimensional, finitely generated R-module. Here $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local ring. The functor $\widehat{\cdot}$ denotes the completion functor.

For an R-module M let $0 = \cap_{i=1}^{n} Q_i(M)$ denote a minimal primary decomposition of the zero submodule of M. That is $M/Q_i(M), i = 1, \ldots, n$, is a $\mathfrak{p}_i$-primary R-module. Clearly $\operatorname{Ass}_R M = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$. It is defined in [E-Sch] that $Q_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = \cap_{\mathfrak{p}_i \in U} Q_i(M)$, where $U = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_R M | \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = s$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p} = 0\}$ and $P_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ as the intersection of all the primary components of $\operatorname{Ann}_R M$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p} = 0$. Clearly $P_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is the pre-image of $Q_{\mathfrak{a}R/\operatorname{Ann}_R M}(R/\operatorname{Ann}_R M)$ in R. It has been shown in [E-Sch, Corollary 3.5] that when $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ is a local ring there is a natural isomorphism

$$H^s_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \simeq H^s_{\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}}(\widehat{M})/P_{\mathfrak{a}}(\widehat{M})H^s_{\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}}(\widehat{M}),$$

where $P_{\mathfrak{a}}(\widehat{M}) \subset \widehat{R}$ is the ideal.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of dimension $d > 0$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R such that $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0$. Let $J = \cap_{\mathfrak{p}_i\in\Sigma}\mathfrak{p}_i$ be as above such that Σ is finite. Assume that $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ is Artinian. Then,*

$$H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)/JH^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \cong H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}}(S)/KH^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}}(S),$$

where $K := P_{\mathfrak{a}}(\widehat{R}/J\widehat{R}) \subset S := \widehat{R}/J\widehat{R}$ is the ideal.

Proof. First note that as $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ is an Artinian R-module so, it admits a unique $\widehat{R}$-module structure. Then, without loss of generality we may assume that R is complete. It is clear that $\dim R/J = d-1$ so, by assumption and Grothendieck's non-vanishing Theorem one can see that $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/J) \neq 0$. Hence, by the above explanations there exists a natural isomorphism

$$H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/J) \cong H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/(P_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/J), J)).$$

Now the claim is clear as $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0$. ☐

Remark 4.3. Assume that $0 = \cap_{i=1}^n q_i$ is a minimal primary decomposition of the zero ideal of a ring R with dimension $d \geq 3$, where at least one of q_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ is of dimension one. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R such that $\operatorname{Spec} R \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$ is disconnected. Then, there are ideals $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ such that $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{b}) \neq 0 \neq \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{c})$, $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{b}\cap\mathfrak{c}) = \operatorname{Rad}(0)$ and $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{c}) = \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{a})$. If we choose one of them to be one-dimensional component of 0, say $\mathfrak{b}$ then, Mayer-Vietoris sequence implies the following long exact sequence

$$H^{d-2}_{\mathfrak{b}\cap\mathfrak{c}}(R) \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{b}}(R) \oplus H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{c}}(R) \to H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{b}\cap\mathfrak{c}}(R),$$

where $H^{d-2}_{\mathfrak{b}\cap\mathfrak{c}}(R) = 0 = H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{b}\cap\mathfrak{c}}(R)$. Hence, we get the following isomorphism

$$H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \cong H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{b}}(R) \oplus H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{c}}(R).$$

Moreover, in case R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ is not finitely generated because, $H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{b}}(R)$ is not finitely generated.

Problem 4.4. *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R. By virtue of* [E, Theorem 1.1] *in the case* $\operatorname{depth} R \geq 2$, $\dim R = d$, *we have the following equivalent statements of formal local cohomology modules:*

$$\varprojlim_n H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\mathfrak{a}^n) = 0 = \varprojlim_n H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\mathfrak{a}^n)$$

if and only if

$$\varprojlim_n H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\mathfrak{a}^n) \text{ and } \varprojlim_n H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\mathfrak{a}^n)$$

are Artinian.

When R is a Gorenstein ring the above statements are equivalent to $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = 0 = H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ for $d \geq 2$. Now it implies to find more precise conditions for Artinianness of $\varprojlim_n H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\mathfrak{a}^n)$ and $\varprojlim_n H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\mathfrak{a}^n)$.

It should be noted that if $\operatorname{Coass}(\varprojlim_n H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\mathfrak{a}^n)) \not\subset V(\mathfrak{a})$ *then,* $\varprojlim_n H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\mathfrak{a}^n)$ *is not Artinian (cf.* [E, Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 3.5]*).*

For more information on formal local cohomology modules see [Sch2].

REFERENCES

[A-M] M. Aghapournahr and L. Melkersson, *Cofiniteness and coassociated primes of local cohomology modules*, Math. Scand., **105**(7) (2009), 161-170.

[At-Mac] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to comutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley 1969.

[Br-Sh] M. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Univ. Press, **60**, Cambridge, (1998).

[E] M. Eghbali, *On Artinianness of formal local cohomology, colocalization and coassociated primes* , Math. Scand. , to appear. arXiv:1011.4141 [math.AC].

[E-Sch] M. Eghbali and P. Schenzel, *On an endomorphism ring of local cohomology*, Comm. Algebra, **40**: 4295-4305, 2012.

[Gr] A. Grothendieck, *Local cohomology*, Notes by R. Hartshorne, Lect. Notes in Math., 20, Springer, 1966.

[Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*, Annals of Math. 88 (1968), 403-450.

[Hel] M. Hellus, *Local Cohomology and Matlis Duality*, Habilitationsschrift, Leipzig, (2006).

[Hu-K] C. Huneke and J. Koh, *Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (1991), **110**, 421-429.

[Hu-L] C. Huneke and G. Lyubeznik, *On the vanishing of local cohomology modules*, Invent. Math. **102**, 73-93(1990).

[O] A. Ogus, *Local cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*, Annals Math. **98** (1973) 327-365.

[P-S] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, *Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **42**, (1973), 323-395.

[R] A. S. Richardson, *Co-localization, co-support and local cohomology*, Rocky Mountain J. of Math., **36**, 5, (2006), 1679-1703.

[Sch] P. Schenzel, *On the use of local cohomology in algebra and geometry*, in: J. Elias, J.M. Giral, R.M. Mir-Roig, S. Zarzuela (Eds.), Six Lectures in Commutative Algebra, Proceed. Summer School on Commutative Algebra at Centre de Recerca Matemtica, in: Progr. Math., vol. **166**, Birkhuser, (1998), pp. 241-292.

[Sch2] P. Schenzel, *On formal local cohomology and connectedness*, J. Algebra, **315**(2), (2007), 894-923.

ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, BRANCH SOOFIAN, SOOFIAN, IRAN.
E-mail address: `m.eghbali@yahoo.com`